

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/032,952	10/26/2001	Mark H. Tuszynski	041673-2054	7826
30542	7590 05/26/2004		EXAMINER	
FOLEY & LARDNER			CHEN, SHIN LIN	
P.O. BOX 80 SAN DIEGO	0, CA 92138-0278		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	
			DATE MAILED: 05/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/032,952	TUSZYNSKI, MARK	Н.			
Advisory rieden	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shin-Lin Chen	1632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 04 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a nal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in ondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: 						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	·	see NOTE below),				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	rially reducing or sir	nnlifying the			
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NO	T place the			
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: None.						
Claim(s) objected to: None.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.						
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	-			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•				
0. Other:		suh	ly			
		Shin-Lin Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1632				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The term "expression vector" in claims 23 and 33 and the term "the human" in claim 26 lack sufficient antecedent basis. Claim 32 depends on itself and raises new issue under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph..

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph rejection of claims 1-18 if the amendment filed 5-4-04 is entered.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendment filed 5-4-04 has not been entered and the claims remain rejected for the reasons of record.